arXiv:1704.03030v1 [physics.atom-ph] 10 Apr 2017

A minimalistic and optimized conveyor belt for neutral atoms

Ritayan Roy[1,2,*], Paul C. Condylis[1,†], Vindhiya Prakash[1,3], Daniel Sahagun[1,4], and Björn Hessmo[1,5]

[1]Centre for Quantum Technologies (CQT), 3 Science Drive 2, Singapore 117543
[2] Present address: School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Highfield, Southampton, SO17 1BJ, United Kingdom
[3] Present address: ICFO- Institut de Ciencies Fotoniques, The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, 08860 Castelldefels (Barcelona), Spain
[4] Present address: Instituto de Física, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Circuito de la Investigación Científica, Ciudad Universitaria 04510 Cd. Mx., Mexico
[5]Department of Physics, National University of Singapore, 2 Science Drive 3, Singapore 117542

E-mail: *ritayan.roy@u.nus.edu, †pavlito.labjunky@gmail.com

April 2017

Abstract. We report the design and performance of a micro-fabricated conveyor belt for the precise and adiabatic transportation of rubidium (Rb) cold atoms. A theoretical model is presented to determine the least number of conveyor conductors are required as well as for the optimisation of current through them for an adiabatic transportation of atoms. We experimentally demonstrate with as few as three conveyor conductors an adiabatic transportation of ^{87}Rb cold atoms with minimal loss. This novel design, a multilayered conveyor belt structure fabricated in aluminium nitride (AlN), would not only simplify the fabrication process of the conveyor belt, but also reduce the complex electrical connections inside the vacuum chamber. The minimized number of conveyor conductors ensures the reduction in the number of power supplies. This demonstration would pave a way for a portable quantum device required for quantum information processing and sensors, where precise positioning of the cold atoms is indispensable.

PACS numbers: 37.10.De, 37.10.Gh

Keywords: magneto-optical trap, laser cooling, cold atoms, magnetic trap, magnetic transport, atomic conveyor belt

1. Introduction

A simple yet powerful technique to achieve quantum regime with neutral atoms are demonstrated using the atom chips [1]. The micro-fabricated wires and electrodes are lithographically imprinted on the atom chips to construct complex potentials using the magnetic and electric fields to trap and manipulation the atoms [2, 3, 4]. Many aspects of integrated matter wave technology have been demonstrated such as

combined magnetic/ electrostatic traps [5], transportation of neutral atoms [6], atomic beam splitters [7], the creation of Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) [8, 9, 10], atom interferometer [11, 12], and the integration of optical lattices with atom chips [13].

For many applications, such as in quantum information and communication, quantum sensors and microscopes, and to study various short and long range interaction potentials, it is desired to move the trapped atoms precisely in three-dimensional (3-D) geometry along with temporal controls. Indeed the realisation of a "qubit conveyor belt" is instrumental in the applications for quantum computing as proposed [14].

In this regard the transportation of ultra cold atoms using a "conveyor belt" should be adiabatic such that the atoms remain in the same energy state during the transport. The demonstration of transportation of neutral atoms using magnetic conveyor belt has been realised on an atom chip using two different patterns of conveyor belt wires. The first using two wires in a repeating square wave type pattern on the surface of the atom chip [6]. It was demonstrated that applying time dependent currents to the wires, atoms cloud could be split and transported parallel to the atom chip surface. In the second, an array of eight wires are used repeating on the chip surface. By manipulating the currents in those eight wires the atom cloud is transported [15, 16]. In both of these implementations there are some drawbacks. In the first, the transportation of atoms was not completely adiabatic. In the second, the use of a set of eight repeating wires presents some limitations in terms of chip fabrication, and connecting the wires through to power supplies outside the vacuum chamber which is cumbersome.

In this article we present a new atom chip based conveyor belt design, which is both simpler to fabricate and transports the cold atoms almost adiabatically. The heating rate we have found in terms of heating per millimetre of transport to be 36 ± 24 nK.mm^{-1}. To achieve the adiabatic performance, our design utilises a repeating pattern of just four wires, though only three wires are strictly required. A theoretical model for the optimization of currents through the wires for the adiabatic transport of the cold atoms is presented in this paper. Later, we outline the basic design of our conveyor belt and we experimentally demonstrate the adiabatic performance of the conveyor belt.

2. Basic design

Our atom chip is comprised of a two component system with a science chip, connected underneath to a base chip. On the science chip, lithographically printed gold micro-wires in the shape of "H and I" are used to create different magnetic trap geometries to hold the atom cloud and to guide respectively, see Figure 1(a). The "I wire", the central wire running through the entire length of the science chip, was used along with the conveyor wires on the base chip for the transportation of the cold atom cloud. All of the science chip wires are connected using silver epoxy, to the base chip. The base chip performs two functions; first, to bridge the science chip connections to copper wires which exit the vacuum chamber via a vacuum feedthrough and second, the base chip has its own set of wires, which form the conveyor belt transport wires 1(c). These two functions are enabled by using a multilayer base chip design, fabricated in aluminium nitride (AlN). A full description of the science and base chip designed and manufacturing can be found in this thesis [17].



Figure 1. The Science and Base chip schematic. The science chip, a), is comprised of an array of wires to produce different magnetic trap geometries. The central wire, which runs the full length of the chip produces the guide potential for the magnetic conveyor belt. We refer to this wire as the "I wire", given its shape. Mounted below the science chip is a base chip comprising multiple layers. The second layer, b) has an array of wires which we use to produce the magnetic conveyor belt to move the atoms along the science chip surface. There are 4 repetitive wires, seperated by 400 μm from each-other. The AIN base chip, c), has 14 layers. The surface layer has pads to connect the science chip wires, right, and pads for copper wire connections to a feedthrough, left. Copper vias run down through the chip and allow wires to be connected on multiple layers. The conveyor belt wires are connected to each other using the layer below. The fourth layer connects the science chip wires to the surface connection pads. To allow higher currents to flow we repeat this structure on several layers [17].

The ^{87}Rb atoms are magneto-optically trapped (MOT) using current through a U-shaped copper wire ("U-wire") of 3 $\times$3 mm cross-section, approximately 4 mm directly below the science chip U-wire. The current in the U-wire, initially 24 A for the MOT loading for 10 seconds is ramped down, while the science chip U-wire current is ramped up, to a maximum of 1.5 A. In this way the MOT, of approximately 1.5 $\times 10^6$ atoms, is transferred to the science chip U-wire. The science chip's gold (Au) surface acts as



Figure 2. The conveyor belt consists of a guide wire carrying a current I_w, and transport wires with currents I_1, I_2, and I_3, etc. to the last wire I_N. The transport wires are separated a distance L from each other. The magnetic fields from these wires are counteracted by external magnetic fields to B_{Ioffe} and B_{bias}.

a mirror for the four beams in a mirror-MOT configuration. A short stage of optical molasses cooling and optical pumping precedes the loading into a magnetic trap. This trap is formed using the guide wire on the science chip, and the conveyor belt wires in the base chip. The location of the magnetic-trap minimum, along the x-axis of the chip, is chosen to overlap the position of the optical molasses, and maximise the capture of atoms into the magnetic trap. Typically 300 $\times 10^3$ atoms are loaded into the trap, though it is possible to capture up to 1 $\times 10^6$ atoms from the molasses. The temperature of the atom cloud in the magnetic trap is measured around 10 μK.

After loading the conveyor-belt magnetic-trap we transport the atoms over to a set of on-chip fibre optics. In order to minimise heating and loss of atoms from the trap during transport we implement the current waveforms described in the section below.

3. Optimization of the currents

For a smooth transport along the wire it is required that the trapping frequencies remain the same at all positions along the wire. To optimise the conveyor belt, we use a one dimensional model where we assume that the transverse and longitudinal trapping frequencies are independent. This approximation is valid when the principal axes of the trap are aligned with the trapping wires. Consider the situation illustrated in Fig. 2 where atoms are held by a guide wire along the x-axis, carrying a current I_w. A guide is formed when an external bias field B_{bias} is applied perpendicular to the wire. The guide will be located at a height,

$$y_0 = \frac{\mu_0}{2\pi}\frac{I_w}{B_{\text{bias}}}. \tag{1}$$

In such a guide the potential is a quadrupole field, where atoms would be lost due to the Majorana spin flips. Applying an additional field along the guide wire B_{Ioffe} will remove this zero-point of the field. For this guide the transverse trapping frequency is given by, $\omega_\perp = \sqrt{\mu_B g_F \frac{d^2B}{dr^2}/M}$ where M is the mass of the atom and g_F the Landé factor, and μ_B the Bohr magnetron, and r the distance from the minimum. The transverse field curvature around the minimum is given by,

$$\frac{d^2B}{dr^2} = \left(\frac{2\pi}{\mu_0}\right)\frac{B_{\text{bias}}^2}{I_w\sqrt{B_{\text{Ioffe}}}}.$$

At the centre of the guide the magnetic field is equal to B_{Ioffe}.

Trapping along the guide is formed by running currents (I_k, $k = 1, 2, 3$) through wires perpendicular to the guide wire that counteract and reduce the field B_{Ioffe}. Assuming that y_0 remains unaffected by the wires I_k, the field along the guide centre becomes

$$B_x = B_{\text{Ioffe}} - \frac{\mu_0 I_1}{2\pi}\frac{h}{h^2+(x+L)^2} - \frac{\mu_0 I_2}{2\pi}\frac{h}{h^2+(x)^2} - \frac{\mu_0 I_3}{2\pi}\frac{h}{h^2+(x-L)^2},$$

where L is the separation between the wires, and h the distance from the wires to the guide as illustrated in Fig. 2 . It is assumed that the wires are thin and long. Here we calculate the currents that allows a smooth transport of atoms from $-L/2$ to $L/2$. When the atoms have reached $L/2$, the process can be repeated by shifting the current configurations to new wires. During the transport the following conditions should be satisfied: i) The current configuration provides a single minimum for B_x at any position between $-L/2$ and $+L/2$, ii) the magnetic field minimum should remain constant to maintain a constant transverse trap frequency, and iii) the axial curvature should remain constant to keep the axial trap frequency constant. These three conditions allow us to solve for the three currents. The equations are

$$\partial_x B_x(x)|_{x=x_0} = 0 \tag{2}$$
$$B_x(x_0) = B_0 < B_{\text{Ioffe}} \tag{3}$$
$$\partial_{xx} B_x|_{x=x_0} = C, \tag{4}$$

where B_0 is the wanted trap bottom, and C is the desired field curvature. Solving these equations for I_1, I_2 and I_3 gives:

$$I_1 = -\frac{\pi\left(h^2+(L+x_0)^2\right)^3}{R}\Big[C\left(h^2+x_0^2\right)\left(h^2+(L-x_0)^2\right)\left(h^2+x_0\left(L-x_0\right)\right) - 2\left(B_{\text{Ioffe}}-B_0\right)\left(h^4+h^2\left(L^2-6Lx_0+6x_0^2\right)-3x_0^2\left(L-x_0\right)^2\right)\Big] \tag{5}$$

$$I_2 = \frac{2\pi\left(h^2+x_0^2\right)^3}{R}\Big[C\left(h^2+(L-x_0)^2\right)\left(h^2+L^2-x_0^2\right)\left(h^2+(L+x_0)^2\right) - 2\left(B_{\text{Ioffe}}-B_0\right)\left(h^4-2h^2\left(L^2-3x_0^2\right)-3\left(L^2-x_0^2\right)^2\right)\Big] \tag{6}$$

$$I_3 = -\frac{\pi\left(h^2+(L-x_0)^2\right)^3}{R}\Big[C\left(h^2+x_0^2\right)\left(h^2+(L+x_0)^2\left(h^2-x_0\left(L+x_0\right)\right)\right) - 2\left(B_{\text{Ioffe}}-B_0\right)\left(h^4+h^2\left(L^2+6Lx_0+6x_0^2\right)-3x_0^2\left(L+x_0\right)^2\right)\Big], \tag{7}$$

where

$$R = 2\mu_0 hL^2\left[5h^6+h^4\left(6L^2-9x_0^2\right)+h^2\left(L^4-12L^2x_0^2+15x_0^4\right)-3\left(x_0^3-L^2x_0\right)^2\right]$$

It is convenient to select a simple starting condition, for instance $I_1 = I_2$ and $I_3 = 0$. For this starting condition the curvature becomes

$$C = \frac{8(4h^2-3L^2)}{(4h^2+L^2)^2}\left(B_{\text{Ioffe}}-B_0\right).$$



(a) (b)

Figure 3. In a) we plot the numerically calculated position as we scan x_0 from $-L/2 = -400\mu m$ to $L/2 = 400\mu m$. The solid line shows $\Delta x = x_{\text{exact}} - x_0$. This deviates by less than 100nm from the wanted value. The dashed line shows $\Delta y = y_{\text{exact}} - y_0$, where y_0 is the distance to the guide wire for $x_0 = -400\mu m$. This height changes about 100nm. The dotted line shows Δz. This number is supposed to be zero if the approximation is exact. Here we note that the trap wiggles about 250nm along the chip surface. In b) the transverse (dashed lines) and axial trap (solid line) frequencies are plotted as the trap is moved from from $-L/2 =$ to $L/2$.

This also gives an important constraint on the geometry. For a wire separation $L > \sqrt{4/3}h$ it will not be possible to form a field minima at $\pm L/2$. At the end of the transport sequence the current configuration will be $I_2 = I_3$ and $I_1 = 0$. To repeat the transport across multiple transport wires, this final configuration is the starting condition for transport using wires I_2, I_3, and I_4 located at a distance L from wire I_3. The currents for this is plotted in Fig. 4. At distances $h > L$ these expressions simplify further:

$$I_1 = \frac{I_0}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{x_0}{L}\right)^2$$
$$I_2 = \frac{I_0}{2}\left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{2x_0^2}{L^2}\right)$$
$$I_3 = \frac{I_0}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{x_0}{L}\right)^2,$$

where $I_0 = I_1 + I_2 + I_3$ is the total current through the wires. For the above calculations, we used an approximation that assumes the the conveyor belt wires do not influence the transverse position of the trap. To test this approximation we calculate the magnetic fields by integrating Biot-Savart's law along the current carrying wires. Here we use the parameters from our experimental chip, i.e. L=800 μm, I_w=0.9 A, I_k= 0-1.5 A and the basic requirement for this approximation to work, is that $h \gg y_0$.

4. Conveyor Belt Characterisation

To verify the model described in Section 3, we perform temperature measurements of the magnetically trapped atoms after transportation. An important parameter of the current waveforms is the height (h), the distance between the atom cloud and the conveyor belt wires. It is evident from the Figure 4, the waveforms change significantly



Figure 4. In a) and b) we plot the currents I_1 (dashed line), I_2 (solid line), and I_3 (dotted line) as the trap position is moved from $-L/2$ to $L/2$. For the position $-L/2$ the currents are normalised to $I_1 = I_2 = 1$. The thin (blue) line is the total current divided by two. In a) $h = 2L$. The total amount of current in the three wires is almost constant. In b) $h = L$. and we also need more total current to maintain a constant axial trap frequency. It will not be possible to transport atoms if $h < \sqrt{3/4}L$. In c) the current waveforms provided by several wires separated by a distance L have been stitched together to provide a longer transport distance.

depending on this height (h), as provided in the equations (5-7). The magnetic trap minimum in the vertical direction perpendicular to the chip surface, is set by the guide wire current, and the external bias field as provided in the equation 1.

For the conveyor belt, in order to move the atoms with a minimal change in curvature, the height (h) should be set correctly in equations (5-7). In experiment, as the height of the cloud from the conveyor wire is not exactly known, so, we guess the value of h from the calculated value of y_0 using equation 1 and knowing the height of the science chip. If the guess value of the cloud to the conveyor wire distance (h) is matched, then the current through the conveyor wires would be optimized. Optimized current thorugh the conveyor wire would lead to a minimum heating of the cloud during transport as that would satisfy all the equations 2, 3, and 4 . However, if the value of h is incorrectly assigned in the calculation we would expect a heating of the cloud due to changes of the curvature of the trap.

To verify this we guess the value of h from 0.8 mm to 4.0 mm, which results in to different waveforms for the conveyor wires. With each guess value of h, after the transportation we measured the clouds temperature. For this experiment the atoms were moved a distance of 1.6 mm (0.8 mm in the forward direction and again backwards). To eliminate any heating due to changing the loading conditions of the cloud, we perform a differential temperature measurement. We measure the difference in temperature of the moved cloud versus a stationary cloud loaded into the magnetic-trap under the same conditions. This differential measurement thus reveals the heating related solely due to the transport.

Figure 5 shows that there is a minimal heating of the cloud for h=1.2 mm. This is consistent with the distance between the cloud and the conveyor-belt wires from



Figure 5. Heating due to the transportation of the cloud as a function of the current waveform height parameter. The minimum heating occurs at h=1.2 mm. The solid line shows the total change in curvature of the magnetic trap due to transport, calculated numerically as a function of h. We clearly see a similar behaviour between the cloud heating and the curvature change. Suggesting that the heating is due to changes in the curvature of the trap at different location along the transport route. Inset: Atom loss due to transportation. N/N_0 is the relative atom number remaining after transport, compared to a stationary cloud held for the same time. The points are an average of three measurements, and the error bar is the standard deviation. A little loss is observed after 6.4 mm of transport, and the loss is most likely due to collision of atoms with optical fiber placed on the chip surface.

the theoretical prediction ‡. Each point corresponds to the mean difference of three measurements of the temperature for the moved and stationary clouds each, where, the error bars are indicating the standard deviation. For the values of h =1.1, 1.2, and 1.3 mm, additional measurements were made to verify on different occasion. In the figure, the solid line shows the total change in curvature of the magnetic trap along the transport route. This is calculated numerically from the 3-dimensional trap geometry given the conveyor belt currents, I_1, I_2, I_3, the guide-wire current, I_w, and the bias fields, B_{bias} and B_{Ioffe} respectively. In the figure we see that the heating follows the changes in curvature of the trap. For the correctly assigned height, there is almost no heating. The heating rate at h=1.2 mm, in terms of heating per millimetre of transport, was found to be 36 ± 24 nK.mm^{-1}. At large cloud-wire distances the current waveforms change very little, however at distances very close to the wires the waveforms change dramatically, see Figure 4. This explains the shape of the curve in Figure 5, with a greater heating closer to the chip than further away.

Another important performance criterion for an atom chip conveyor-belt, is to demonstrate that atoms are not lost due to the transport. When atoms are trapped in a magnetic potential, atoms are lost over time, due to collisions of background gas in the vacuum system. In order to confirm if the atom loss occurs during the transportation using the the conveyor-belt, one needs to take into account the atom

‡ Though the exact distance is not known due to a thin glue layer between the science and the base chips.

loss due to the magnetic trap's lifetime. To verify, we measure the atom number for a transported cloud and for a stationary cloud held for same time as the transportation time, with the exactly same loading conditions. We then divide the measured atom numbers from each other and observe the atom loss due to the transport, independent of the natural trap lifetime. The measurement is then repeated over different distances of transport. The inset in Figure 5 shows the relative atom number over different transport distances. The points in the plot are an average of three measurements, with the standard deviation forming the error bars. We observe no atom loss for distances up to 6.4 mm, and 91% of the atoms remain at 8 mm. This small loss is most likely due to some atoms colliding with an optical fiber placed on the chip surface.

For an ideal conveyor belt one would like to move the atomic cloud not only adiabatically, as well as as quickly as possible in order to minimise dead time, or indeed to avoid loss given a limited magnetic-trap lifetime. Once the atom cloud to wire distance has been found it is possible to move the atoms with any position-acceleration profile, limited only by the gradient and depth of the trap. We found no significant heating depending on that acceleration profile, until atoms were lost from the trap after exceeding its limits. The atoms were transported adiabatically, almost without loss with a maximum velocity of v_{max}= 4 cm s^{-1}.

5. Conclusion

We have shown an analytical model of a minimalist magnetic conveyor-belt for neutral atoms trapped using atom chip technology. Using this model we have calculated the optimal set of wire currents needed to transport atoms across the surface without changing the trap curvature or height, and thus the temperature of the atom cloud. We have built and characterised the conveyor-belt, showing almost no heating or loss due to the transportation of the trapped atoms. The simplicity of the design lends itself to easy implementation on future atom chips.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank A. Dhanapaul, S. M. Maniam, and J. Andersson for fabricating the science chip, and for many valuable and insightful discussions. We also thank J. Yik, R. Srinivas, and N. Kia Boon for their work on the experiment. This research has been supported by the National Research Foundation & Ministry of Education, Singapore.

References

[1] Folman R, Krüger P, Cassettari D, Hessmo B, Maier T and Schmiedmayer J 2000 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**(20) 4749–4752
[2] Folman R, Krger P, Schmiedmayer J, Denschlag J and Henkel C 2002 **48** 263 – 356 ISSN 1049-250X
[3] Reichel J 2002 *Applied Physics B* **74** 469–487 ISSN 1432-0649
[4] Fortágh J and Zimmermann C 2007 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **79**(1) 235–289
[5] Krüger P, Luo X, Klein M W, Brugger K, Haase A, Wildermuth S, Groth S, Bar-Joseph I, Folman R and Schmiedmayer J 2003 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**(23) 233201
[6] Hänsel W, Reichel J, Hommelhoff P and Hänsch T W 2001 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**(4) 608–611
[7] Cassettari D, Hessmo B, Folman R, Maier T and Schmiedmayer J 2000 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**(26) 5483–5487

[8] Ott H, Fortagh J, Schlotterbeck G, Grossmann A and Zimmermann C 2001 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**(23) 230401
[9] Hänsel W, Hommelhoff P, Hänsch T and Reichel J 2001 *Nature* **413** 498
[10] Schneider S, Kasper A, vom Hagen C, Bartenstein M, Engeser B, Schumm T, Bar-Joseph I, Folman R, Feenstra L and Schmiedmayer J 2003 *Phys. Rev. A* **67**(2) 023612
[11] Schumm T, Hofferberth S, Andersson L M, Wildermuth S, Groth S, Bar-Joseph I, Schmiedmayer J and Krüger P 2005 *Nature Physics* **1** 57–62
[12] Böhi P, Riedel M F, Hoffrogge J, Reichel J, Hänsch T W and Treutlein P 2009 *Nature Physics* **5** 592–597
[13] Gallego D, Hofferberth S, Schumm T, Krüger P and Schmiedmayer J 2009 *Opt. Lett.* **34** 3463–3465
[14] DiVincenzo D P *Fortschritte der Physik* **48** ISSN 1521-3978
[15] Günther A, Kemmler M, Kraft S, Vale C J, Zimmermann C and Fortágh J 2005 *Phys. Rev. A* **71**(6) 063619
[16] Gierling M, Schneeweiss P, Visanescu G, Federsel P, Häffner M, Kern D, Judd T, Günther A and Fortágh J 2011 *Nature nanotechnology* **6** 446–451
[17] Roy R 2015 *An Integrated Atom Chip for The Detection and Manipulation of Cold Atoms Using a Two-photon Transition* Ph.D. thesis Centre for Quantum Technologies, National University of Singapore